

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/615,852	SHIOTA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jay Williams Reg. No. 48306.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 May 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 1/20/04*


Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

*Prior Art of Record***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Amendments to the claims were suggested to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of pages 14-19 of the specification. Mr. Williams agreed to FAX a supplemental amendment for formal consideration.***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)